

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KRISHNA REDDY,
Plaintiff,

v.

NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [5:11-cv-05632-PSG](#)

**OMNIBUS ORDER DENYING
MOTIONS FOR FEES, SANCTIONS,
AND LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA
PAUPERIS**

(Re: Docket No. 275, 280, 302)

Plaintiff Krishna Reddy and Defendants Nuance Communications, Inc. et al. present assorted motions,¹ all of which are DENIED.

I.

On September 4, 2015, the court entered judgment.² At that time, the court invited Defendants to submit a motion for fees and costs incurred since the final pretrial conference.³ Under Civ. L.R. 54-1(a) and 54-5(a), the deadline to file a bill of costs or a motion for fees is 14 days from the entry of judgment, or in this case, by September 18, 2015.⁴ Defendants untimely moved for fees and costs on October 15, 2015,⁵ well after the deadline. Their motion for fees is DENIED.

¹ See Docket Nos. 275, 280, 302.

² See Docket Nos. 269.

³ See Docket No. 268 at 6.

⁴ See Civ. L.R. 54-1(a), 54-5(a).

⁵ See Docket No. 275.

1 **II.**

2 After Defendants moved for fees, Reddy moved for sanctions, entry of default judgment
3 and disbarment of Defendants' attorneys.⁶ The basis for her request is that Defendants' motion
4 fell outside the 14 days allotted by Civ. L.R. 54-5(a).⁷ Reddy argues that the requested sanctions
5 are proper under Civ. L.R. 1-4, which provides that "[f]ailure by counsel or a party to comply with
6 any duly promulgated local rule or any Federal Rule may be a ground for imposition of any
7 authorized sanction."⁸ Defendants' motion was untimely and has been denied for that reason; the
8 extreme penalties that Reddy requests are unwarranted. Her motion is DENIED.

9 **III.**

10 Finally, Reddy again requests leave to appeal in forma pauperis.⁹ The court denied her last
11 request¹⁰ on the ground that her appeal was frivolous.¹¹ The Ninth Circuit then denied her motion
12 to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal because it found that Reddy had not demonstrated
13 indigence and because her appeal was frivolous.¹² Reddy now has filed an in forma pauperis
14 application with information on her finances,¹³ but she has provided no basis for reconsidering the
15 court's prior assessment of her appeal as frivolous. This motion is DENIED.

16
17
18
19

⁶ See Docket No. 280.

20 ⁷ See *id.* at 6.

21 ⁸ See *id.* at 3, 6.

22 ⁹ See Docket No. 302.

23 ¹⁰ See Docket No. 271.

24 ¹¹ See Docket No. 276 at 4.

25 ¹² See Docket No. 301 at 1.

26 ¹³ See Docket No. 302.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 21, 2015


PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California